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ABSTRACT

Luminescence performance of yttrium aluminum garnet (Y\textsubscript{3}Al\textsubscript{5}O\textsubscript{12}) phosphors as a function of Cr\textsuperscript{3+} concentration has been investigated via two different wet-chemical synthesis techniques, direct- (DP) and hydrothermal-precipitation (HP). Using either of these methods, the red-emitting phosphor [Y\textsubscript{3-x}Al\textsubscript{x}Cr\textsubscript{x}O\textsubscript{12} (YAG: Cr\textsuperscript{3+})] showed similar photoluminescence (PL) intensities once the dopant concentration was optimized. Specifically, the YAG: Cr\textsuperscript{3+} PL emission intensity reached a maximum at Cr\textsuperscript{3+} concentrations of x = 0.02 (0.4 at.%), and x = 0.13 (2.6 at.%), for DP and HP processed samples, respectively. The results indicated the strong influence of the processing method on the optimized YAG: Cr\textsuperscript{3+} performance, where a more effective energy transfer rate between a pair of Cr\textsuperscript{3+} activators at low concentration levels was observed by using the DP synthesis technique. Development of a highly efficient phosphor, using a facile synthesis approach, could significantly benefit consumer and industrial applications by improving the operational efficiency of a wide range of practical devices.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

A phosphor-based white light emitting diode (LED) consists of a LED coated with a phosphor, where the light emitted from the LED and phosphor produces a broad emission spectrum that is observed as white light. To improve the phosphor-based white light emitting diode’s luminous efficiency, an LED can be coated with multi-layers/blends of phosphors exhibiting distinct colors (e.g. blue, green, yellow, and red). For instance by combining different monochromatic light sources (e.g. red, green, and blue) on an LED, the emitted white light spectrum can be broadened even further.\textsuperscript{1} By enhancing the luminous efficiency, these light emitting phosphors can become more energy efficient and one day replace current conventional lighting sources, such as incandescent, linear fluorescent, and metal halide bulbs.\textsuperscript{2} Such phosphors are not only applicable to LEDs but also have great potential in applications where solid-state lasers and cathode ray tubes (CRTs) are utilized.\textsuperscript{3} The strong broad red luminescence of the Y\textsubscript{3}Al\textsubscript{x}Cr\textsubscript{x}O\textsubscript{12} is appealing for such applications.

The luminescent efficiency of the Y\textsubscript{3}Al\textsubscript{5}O\textsubscript{12} (YAG – yttrium aluminum garnet) phosphor is dependent upon the purity of the YAG composition. Before obtaining the optimum highly luminescent garnet phase, two intermediate phases of Y\textsubscript{4}Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{9} (YAM – yttrium aluminum monoclinic) and YAlO\textsubscript{3} (YAP – yttrium aluminum perovskite) can form within the material system. If any of the YAM and YAP phases coexist with the final product, the luminescent emission is substantially diminished.

There are many well-known synthetic methods in preparing YAG phosphors.\textsuperscript{4} The solid-state reaction method is a common approach for synthesizing a YAG phosphor.\textsuperscript{5} The major disadvantage of using this method is that it often requires extensive precursor milling and high sintering temperatures (≥1600 °C). While an increased homogeneity of starting materials can be obtained by extensive milling, reproducibility of the desired stoichiometry remains as a major challenge.\textsuperscript{5} The final product often consists of random, inhomogeneous distribution of the YAG, intermediate phases, and starting materials. Consequently, wet-chemical synthesis approaches are most often utilized because they improve homogeneity at the molecular level, leading to the formation of a single, pure YAG phase. Combustion,\textsuperscript{6} hydrothermal,\textsuperscript{7} precipitation,\textsuperscript{7-9} sol-gel,\textsuperscript{10} and spray pyrolysis\textsuperscript{11} are some examples of wet-chemical techniques used in synthesizing the pure YAG. While some of these wet-chemical methods do require high sintering temperatures, they yield a highly crystalline pure YAG phase which results in strong luminescence.

The work presented here improved upon previously published results for synthesizing YAG derivatives. In 2000, Matsubara et al. published a direct precipitation (DP) procedure for synthesizing a chromium(III) activated YAG precursor.\textsuperscript{9} The Y\textsubscript{3}Al\textsubscript{x}Cr\textsubscript{x}O\textsubscript{12} (YAG: Cr\textsuperscript{3+}) precipitate evolved after heating a mixed metal sulfate solution with an excess of urea followed by sintering at varying
temperatures. When the phosphor was sintered at 1300 °C, the YAG structure was obtained. However, at higher sintering temperatures, the powder XRD pattern showed the presence of both the YAG and alumina (Al₂O₃). Matsubara et al. examined the YAP → YAM → YAG phase transformation at varying temperatures; however the effect of Cr³⁺ concentration on luminescence performance was not systematically investigated. Note that the chromium(III) doped YAG has a sharp, narrow band R-line at 693 nm due to the characteristic Cr³⁺ zero-phonon ³E → ⁴A₂ transition. This luminescent emission can be significantly enhanced by optimizing the Cr³⁺ concentration. Therefore pursuing a comprehensive study towards optimization of the level of doping is imperative to realize enhanced luminescence characteristics for many applications. In 2009, Yang et al. published an urea-based hydrothermal-precipitation (HP) process for cerium(III) activated YAG.⁷a Pure YAG phase was achieved at temperatures >1200 °C, which is 400 °C less than what is typically required using the solid-state methods.⁷ To the best of our knowledge, this urea-based HP method has not been explored for synthesizing YAG: Cr³⁺. Hence, the aim of this study was (i) to determine whether YAG: Cr³⁺ garnet can be synthesized at temperatures lower than the traditional solid-state reaction route; and (ii) whether the resulting phosphors can yield a more luminescent phosphor than using the alternative precipitation routes for two different precipitation methods (i.e. DP and HP).⁷⁹ Highly luminescent YAG: Cr³⁺ phosphors were achieved in this study through systematic examination of the preparation method, Cr³⁺ dopant concentration and sintering temperature.

2.0 EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

2.1 METHODS

Y(NO₃)₃·6H₂O (99.8%), Cr(NO₃)₃·9H₂O (99%), Al(NO₃)₃·9H₂O (99%), Cr₂(SO₄)₃·xH₂O, and isopropanol were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Urea (Certified A.C.S.) was purchased from Fisher Scientific. Y₂(SO₄)₃·8H₂O (99.9%) and Al₂(SO₄)₃·18H₂O were purchased from Alfa Aesar and Allied Chemical, respectively. The metal sulfates were dried in an oven at 120 °C for 24 h prior to use. Water was purified by using an in-house millipore water purification system. All chemicals were used as received without further treatment and/or purification.

2.2 DIRECT PRECIPITATION (DP) PREPARATION

The Y₃Al₅₋ₓCrₓO₁₂ powder series was synthesized by using the urea precipitation method cited by Matsubara et al.⁹ The Cr³⁺ concentration of Y₃Al₅₋ₓCrₓO₁₂ was varied relative to Al³⁺ as x = 0.01, 0.02, 0.025, 0.05, 0.075 and 0.10 (which corresponds to 0.2 – 2 at.%). Taking x = 0.02 (0.4 at.%) as an example, aluminum hydroxide powder was synthesized by dissolving Al₂(SO₄)₃·18H₂O (30 g, 4.50 mmol) in water (1.59 L) followed by the addition of urea (606 g, 10.09 mol). The solution was continuously stirred at 90 °C for 2 h. After cooling down to room temperature, the Al(OH)₃·xH₂O was washed and centrifuged with water (x3) and then with isopropanol (x2). The collected solid was dried at 75 °C. A portion of the Al(OH)₃·xH₂O (3.49 g, 29.4 mmol), was suspended in water (420 mL) for 2 h before adding urea (202 g, 3.36 mol). A separate solution was prepared by dissolving Y₂(SO₄)₃·8H₂O (4.18 g, 8.97 mmol) and Cr₂(SO₄)₃·xH₂O (0.117 g, 0.298 mmol) in water (220 mL). After 2 h of dissolving the metal sulfates, the Al(OH)₃·xH₂O suspension was added to the Y₂(SO₄)₃·8H₂O and Cr₂(SO₄)₃·xH₂O solution mixture. The final solution was heated at 85 °C for 1 h to precipitate the powder. After allowing the solution to cool down to room temperature, the precipitant was washed and centrifuged with water (x3) and then isopropanol (x2). The residual solid was then dried overnight in an oven at 75 °C. Powders were sintered in air (using a muffle furnace) at temperatures ranging from 1000 °C to 1600 °C in 100 °C increments for 3 h with ramp up and cool down rates of 5 °C min⁻¹.
2.3 HYDROTHERMAL-PRECIPITATION (HP) PREPARATION

The YAG: Cr\textsuperscript{3+} powder series was synthesized using the HP method reported by Yang et al.\textsuperscript{7a} The molar Cr\textsuperscript{3+} substitution for Al\textsuperscript{3+} in Y\textsubscript{3}Al\textsubscript{5-x}Cr\textsubscript{x}O\textsubscript{12} was varied as x = 0.01, 0.02, 0.05, 0.075, 0.10, 0.11, 0.12, 0.13, 0.14, and 0.15 (which corresponds to 0.2 – 3 at.%). Stoichiometric amounts of Y(NO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3}-6H\textsubscript{2}O, Al(NO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3}-9H\textsubscript{2}O, and Cr(NO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3}-9H\textsubscript{2}O were dissolved in deionized water. The total concentration was adjusted to ~0.1 M. For instance, for the preparation of the Y\textsubscript{3}Al\textsubscript{4.90}Cr\textsubscript{0.10}O\textsubscript{12} precursor powder, Y(NO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3}-6H\textsubscript{2}O (3.45 g, 9.00 mmol), Al(NO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3}-9H\textsubscript{2}O (5.63 g, 15.31 mmol), Cr(NO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3}-9H\textsubscript{2}O (3 mL, 0.1 M) were dissolved in deionized water (240 mL) to give a final total concentration of ~0.1 M. Urea (5.84 g, 97.24 mmol) was then added to the aqueous mixed metal nitrate solution where the urea to nitrate molar ratio was 4:1. After 1 h of stirring at room temperature, the clear solution was distributed evenly into four 50 mL Parr Acid-Digestion Reaction Vessels. The vessels were placed into a pre-heated muffle furnace at 100 °C for 4 h. The muffle furnace was then immediately ramped to 240 °C and held at that temperature for 20 h. After allowing the vessels to cool down to room temperature, the precipitant was washed and centrifuged with water (x3) and then with isopropanol (x2). The precipitant was then dried in an oven at 75 °C overnight. Finally, the precipitant was sintered in a muffle furnace at temperatures ranging from 1000 °C to 1600 °C with 100 °C intervals in air for 3h. The temperature studies were conducted at a ramp up and cool down rate of 5 °C/min.

2.4 CHARACTERIZATION

The structure and crystallinity of the YAG: Cr\textsuperscript{3+} powders were measured by X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) at room temperature using Panalytical Xpert diffractometer with CuKα radiation (λ = 1.540598 Å) and X'Celerator detector. Phase identification was conducted using the HighScore Plus software and the ICDD database. The excitation (λ\textsubscript{ex} = 706 nm) and emission spectra (λ\textsubscript{em} = 419 and 617 nm) were obtained using a Horiba Jobin Yvon Fluorolog® spectrofluorometer equipped with a 450 W Xenon lamp as the excitation source and emission and excitation slits set at 5 nm. The CL apparatus is equipped with a RHEED 35 electron gun and RHEED 30H power supply from Staib Instruments. CL measurements were made by setting the beam acceleration voltage and electron beam current to 10 keV and 0.5 μA, respectively, at room temperature. CL spectra were acquired by an Ocean Optics HR2000 spectrometer.

3.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 POWDER XRD ANALYSIS

For both synthesis approaches, the YAP → YAM → YAG phase transformation was examined as a function of sintering temperature using powder XRD. Since the DP Y\textsubscript{3}Al\textsubscript{4.98}Cr\textsubscript{0.02}O\textsubscript{12} (YAG: 0.4 at.% Cr\textsuperscript{3+}) and HP Y\textsubscript{3}Al\textsubscript{4.95}Cr\textsubscript{0.05}O\textsubscript{12} (YAG: 2.6 at.% Cr\textsuperscript{3+}) powders resulted in the strongest PL as function of Cr\textsuperscript{3+} concentration for their respective preparation method, they were selected for powder X-ray analysis. As shown in Figure 1, the DP YAG: 0.4 at.% Cr\textsuperscript{3+} powder consists of a crystalline mixture of the Y\textsubscript{3}Al\textsubscript{5}O\textsubscript{12} (YAG), YAlO\textsubscript{3} (YAP), and Y\textsubscript{3}Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{9} (YAM) phases for temperatures up to 1100 °C. Above 1200 °C, 100% conversion to the YAG phase was observed. With further increase in sintering temperature, the diffraction peak intensities become sharper as a result of the formation of a highly ordered crystalline structure. On the other hand, when the DP YAG: 0.4 at.% Cr\textsuperscript{3+} was sintered at even
higher temperatures, in the range of 1400 °C to 1600 °C, the diffraction peak widths remained unchanged.

The powder XRD of HP YAG: 2.6 at.% Cr<sup>3+</sup> illustrated the same phase transformations as the DP processed YAG: 0.4 at.% Cr<sup>3+</sup> (Figure 2). In this case, complete phase transformation to the YAG structure was also obtained for sintering temperatures >1200 °C. The powder XRD patterns for both the DP YAG: 0.4 at.% Cr<sup>3+</sup> and HP YAG: 2.6 at.% Cr<sup>3+</sup> sintered at various temperatures confirm that the YAG: Cr<sup>3+</sup> garnet can be synthesized at temperatures lower than the traditional solid-state reaction route (i.e., T = 1600 °C).

![Image of powder XRD patterns](image)

**Figure 1.** Powder XRD patterns of the DP YAG: 0.4 at.% Cr<sup>3+</sup> powder at various sintering temperatures. Lower panel in black: YAM PDF#00-022-0987, red: YAP PDF#01-074-1334, and blue: YAG PDF#01-075-6655.
3.2 PHOTOLUMINESCENCE (PL)

The excitation and emission spectra of the DP YAG: 0.4 at.% Cr\textsuperscript{3+} and HP YAG: 2.6 at.% Cr\textsuperscript{3+} were measured and are shown in Figure 3. Both methodologies produced compounds with Cr\textsuperscript{3+} spin allowed $^4A_2 \rightarrow ^4T_1$ and $^4A_2 \rightarrow ^4T_2$ excitation transitions at 419 and 617 nm, respectively.\textsuperscript{8,12} Four pronounced bands at 677, 693, 706, and 725 nm were observed in the emission spectra. The sharp R-line at 693 nm is a result of the Cr\textsuperscript{3+} zero-phonon $^3E \rightarrow ^4A_2$ transition,\textsuperscript{8,12} while its associated Stokes phonon sidebands are near 706 and 725 nm. An anti-Stokes phonon sideband was observed at 677 nm.\textsuperscript{8} As the sintering temperature was increased, the PL emission band became broader at longer wavelengths. This
broadening effect is associated with the $^4T_2 \rightarrow ^4A_2$ emission band which resides under the R-line. The DP and HP approaches had similar PL intensities when both were sintered at 1600 °C.

Figure 3. Excitation ($\lambda_{\text{em}} = 706$ nm) and emission spectra ($\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 617$ nm) of (a) DP YAG: 0.4 at.% Cr$^{3+}$ and (b) HP YAG: 2.6 at.% Cr$^{3+}$ powders sintered at 1600 °C.

The PL emission intensity of the DP YAG: 0.4 at.% Cr$^{3+}$ was studied as a function of wavelength excitation (Figure 4). The dependency of the PL light output was compared by using the integrated intensity of the R-line transition. The relative PL integrated intensity values were normalized to the best performing sample (excited at 617 nm) - the phosphor sintered at 1600 °C. As predicted, the PL emission intensity improved as sintering temperature increased when using either excitation bands (419 or 617 nm). This observation is expected due to the phase transformation from the YAM→YAP→YAG.

Figure 4. PL intensity as a function of excitation wavelength of the YAG: 0.4 at.% Cr$^{3+}$ prepared via DP.
Figure 5 shows the PL intensity as a function of the \( \text{Cr}^{3+} \) concentration. Similar to the dependency of the excitation wavelength, the PL data for all samples was compared using the integrated intensity of the R-line transition, and the relative emission intensity values were normalized to the best performing sample. It is clear that for both preparation routes, once the \( \text{Cr}^{3+} \) concentration was optimized, the PL emission intensities were observed to be very similar (Figure 3). In addition, our study exemplifies how different synthesis techniques have an effect on the concentration quenching of \( \text{Cr}^{3+} \) in the YAG phosphor. The PL efficiency of the DP YAG: \( \text{Cr}^{3+} \) at its quenching concentration (0.4 at.%) was equal to that of the HP YAG: \( \text{Cr}^{3+} \) at its quenching concentration (2.6 at.%). For the case of HP YAG: \( \text{Cr}^{3+} \) phosphor, as the \( \text{Cr}^{3+} \) concentration increased from 0.2 to 2.6 at.%, the PL emission intensity was also amplified. Note that the saturation state of the PL intensity for the HP YAG: \( \text{Cr}^{3+} \) phosphor is observed to be higher than the DP YAG: \( \text{Cr}^{3+} \) phosphor. In fact, at higher \( \text{Cr}^{3+} \) concentrations (> 2.6 at.%), the HP YAG: \( \text{Cr}^{3+} \) luminescence emission intensity was drastically diminished.

In general, the quenching of the PL is caused by the cross-relaxation and energy transfer between a pair of \( \text{Cr}^{3+} \) activators. The cross-relaxation phenomena occurs when the excited \( \text{Cr}^{3+} \) electron relaxes into one of its own lower energy \( \text{Cr}^{3+} \) excited states rather than to its \( \text{Cr}^{3+} \) ground state. Most often the concentration quenching effect occurs at high dopant concentration levels due to the large degree of interaction between \( \text{Cr}^{3+} \) ions resulting from the \( \text{Cr}^{3+} \)-\( \text{Cr}^{3+} \) distance decreasing. Subsequently, the energy transfer rate between closely spaced \( \text{Cr}^{3+} \) ions and energy transfer to traps or quenching sites increases. Consequently, in both quenching scenarios, the \( \text{Cr}^{3+} \) emission will either be absent or diminish. From these two phenomena, this would imply the DP synthesis technique resulted in a more effective energy transfer rate than the HP approach at low \( \text{Cr}^{3+} \) concentration levels. Hence the difference in the \( \text{Cr}^{3+} \) optimization for each synthesis method can be associated to the relative distance between two \( \text{Cr}^{3+} \) ions. Based upon our findings, additional experiments such as studying how the particle-size and morphology relates to the \( \text{Cr}^{3+} \) concentration quenching effect would be worthwhile to investigate.

![Figure 5. PL intensity as a function of Cr³⁺ concentration for the YAG: Cr³⁺ prepared by using (■) DP and (▼) HP at 1600 °C.](image)
Next we illustrate the effect of sintering temperature (for each method) on the PL performance for optimum doped YAG: Cr\(^{3+}\) samples (Figure 6). The PL results were compared using the integrated intensity of the R-line transition. As the sintering temperature increased from 1000 to 1400 °C, the PL intensity increased linearly for both synthesis approaches. Above 1400 °C, while the same trend continued for the HP samples, saturation in PL intensity is observed for the DP processed samples. This indicates that, for the latter, no additional phase transformations or compositional changes occur above 1400 °C, which also agrees with the XRD observations. In addition, the PL yield of the DP YAG: 0.4 at.% Cr\(^{3+}\) was slightly greater than the HP YAG: 2.6 at.% Cr\(^{3+}\) up to 1500 °C. This demonstrates that the HP YAG: 2.6 at.% Cr\(^{3+}\) powder required higher elevated sintering temperatures (>1400 °C) to obtain the same photoluminescence output as the DP YAG: 0.4 at.% Cr\(^{3+}\) sample exhibited at 1400 °C. These results clearly demonstrate the differences between processing approaches for the fabrication of a high performance luminescent material.

![Figure 6. PL intensity as a function of sintering temperature of the (■) direct precipitation YAG: 0.4 at.% Cr\(^{3+}\) and (▼) hydrothermal-precipitation YAG: 2.6 at.% Cr\(^{3+}\).](image)

3.3 CATHODOLUMINESCENCE (CL)

Figure 7 demonstrates the CL emission dependency on the Cr\(^{3+}\) concentration for the DP YAG: Cr\(^{3+}\) phosphors when sintered at 1600 °C. The integrated intensity (see inset) of the Cr\(^{3+}\) zero-phonon \(^3\)E \(\rightarrow\) \(^4\)A\(_2\) transition was used to evaluate the CL results. The YAG: Cr\(^{3+}\) CL emission band illustrated the same characteristic Cr\(^{3+}\) transitions as observed in the PL emission. As anticipated, the CL results were in agreement with the PL findings, where the CL emission intensity reached a maximum at 0.4 at% Cr\(^{3+}\) and then decreased substantially as the Cr\(^{3+}\) concentration increased beyond the 0.6 at% Cr\(^{3+}\).
Figure 7. CL spectra illustrating the CL intensity as a function of Cr$^{3+}$ concentration of the DP YAG: Cr$^{3+}$ sintered at 1600 °C. Inset: Integrated CL emission.

4.0 CONCLUSIONS

This work demonstrates that more than one wet-chemical approach can be utilized to derive a given phosphor system (e.g. YAG: Cr$^{3+}$) which can yield a similar PL emission intensity. We explored two preparation routes, direct precipitation and hydrothermal precipitation. The photoluminescence emission intensities were similar once the Cr$^{3+}$ dopant concentration and sintering temperature were optimized for both preparation methods. Thus, the PL efficiency of the DP YAG: Cr$^{3+}$ was equal to that of the HP YAG: Cr$^{3+}$ when the Cr$^{3+}$ concentration / sintering temperature was 0.4 at.% / 1400 °C and 2.6 at.% / 1600 °C, respectively. In addition, the DP YAG: 0.4 at.% Cr$^{3+}$ phosphors showed the strongest CL emission intensity. The combined findings of CL and PL studies indicate the DP processed red phosphor (YAG: Cr$^{3+}$) has significant potential for applications not only as a phosphor coating on light emitting diodes but also in cathode ray tubes, solar-cells, plasma display panels, solid-state lasers, and medical imaging.
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