

ESS Thermal Powder Diffractometer

Exercise for INSIS school 2012, Frascati, Italy

Linda Udby, Pia Jensen, Peter Willendrup, Kim Lefmann

We will here build a simple time-of-flight powder diffractometer. The basic philosophy is that a polychromatic beam is sent on to the sample and the diffracted neutrons are counted in time-of-flight detectors covering a large part of the solid angle. To interpret the data, one applies the basic time-of-flight equation

$$t = \alpha\lambda L, \quad (1)$$

where t is the flight time, λ is the wavelength of the neutron, L is the travel length, and $\alpha = m_n/h \approx 252.7 \mu\text{s}/\text{m}/\text{\AA}$. One then assumes that all detected neutrons are scattered elastically, whence λ can be calculated. In turn, the scattering vector q can be found from 2θ , the scattering angle found from the detector position:

$$q = 2k_i \sin \theta = \frac{4\pi}{\lambda} \sin \theta. \quad (2)$$

Here, k_i is the incoming wave vector, as seen on figure 1, where the scattering angle is also indicated.




Figure 1: The incoming neutron beam follows k_i , and is scattered elastically along k_f (meaning that $|k_i| = |k_f|$) at an angle 2θ .

1 The ESS moderator

ESS is a long-pulsed source, with the most important parameter being the pulse length, here called d , and the repetition frequency, $f = 1/T$. Make a simple instrument using the ESS thermal moderator `ESS_moderator_long.comp`, which emit neutrons directly into a time-of-flight detector, simulating a typical thermal wavelength range.

Use the standard parameters for a thermal source (ambient H_2O), listed in the component; otherwise default parameters. Simulate only one pulse (set parameter `twopulses` to 0), and use `d = 2.0 ms`, `freq = 20 Hz`, and `size = 0.02`. The lower and upper boundaries of the wavelength should be set to reasonable values corresponding to thermal neutrons – try 0.01 \AA to 2.5 \AA . The three parameters `dist`, `xw` and `yh` will be set below.

1. Place one time-of-flight monitor `TOF_monitor.comp` directly at the moderator, one at 6 m distance, and one at 149.9 m distance (these monitors are physically realistic). The monitors should have the same size as the moderator, and the moderator should focus on the 149.9 m monitor (now is the time to set the `dist`, `xw` and `yh` parameters correctly). Perform the simulation. Adjust the `timelimits` to see the full pulse.
2. Next, place wavelength sensitive (but unphysical) TOF monitors, using the `TOFLambda_monitor` component, at these three positions and repeat the simulations. Notice how a given time channel (in the physical TOF monitors) contains a sharper wavelength information at the long distance.
3. Third, it has been decided at ESS to change the source parameters to $d = 2.86$ ms, $f = 14$ Hz. This should have the same time-integrated flux as the previous setting, given constant peak flux. Confirm that by simulation. (In fact, the ESS moderator is normalized to constant time-integrated flux.)

2 Frame overlap

Several pulses are produced by the source per second according to the pulse frequency f .

1. Turn on a second pulse of the moderator and perform a simulation. Notice that some time channels at the 149.9 m monitor has ambiguous wavelength information. This is known as frame overlap.

To avoid frame overlap, the wavelength band, $\Delta\lambda$, of the neutrons must be limited by the frame overlap conditions, *i.e.* neutrons from two following pulses (time ΔT apart) must not mix. This gives rise to $T \geq \Delta t = \alpha \Delta\lambda L$, or

$$\Delta\lambda \leq \frac{\Delta T}{\alpha L}. \quad (3)$$

In reality, this is performed by frame overlap choppers at distances of 10-50 m from the moderator. In the simulations, you will merely limit the simulated band to the calculated value.

2. Set the lower wavelength to 0.5 Å and perform a simulation. Note the integrated intensity found on the last monitor.

3 A quick and dirty guide system

We will now investigate how more neutrons can be transported far away from the source by use of guides. An important concept in construction of guides is the divergence of the neutron beam.

1. Insert a 'sample sized' (2 cm × 2 cm) `DivLambda_monitor` after the last monitor at 149.9 m. Use 100 bins and a maximum divergence of $\pm 0.2^\circ$. Perform a simulation and investigate the divergence of the beam.




Figure 2: Illustrations showing the concepts of four different guide types.

There are several different types of guide geometries (see figure 2), and each of these give different results on the sample position. In the following, use the Trace possibility of McStas (this can be chosen instead of the Simulate option in a drop-down list in the GUI) to see how the guides look.

2. Choose one of the four guide types below to continue. For all, the total length should be 141.9 m, and the guide should start at 6.01 m from the source (in between the last and middle monitors).
 - a. A straight guide using the component **Guide**:
 Insert it with a cross-section of 5 cm \times 5 cm. Use the default coating parameters which correspond to a so-called “ $m = 2$ ” supermirror guide.
 - b. An elliptical guide using the component **Guide_tapering**:
 Insert it with a cross-section of 5 cm \times 5 cm in both ends. Calculate at what distance to the guide end the focus point should be in order for the full sample to be illuminated. Set the distance of the focus point at the entrance of the guide to a large enough value to open up for the full source. Use the default coating parameters, setting both **m**-parameters to 2, getting a so-called “ $m = 2$ ” supermirror guide.
 - c. A parabolic guide using the component **Guide_tapering**:
 Insert it with a cross-section of 5 cm \times 5 cm in the source-end. Set **louth** and **loutw** to a value you feel is right (try looking at the guide using Trace). Use the default coating parameters, setting both **m**-parameters to 2, getting a so-called “ $m = 2$ ” supermirror guide.
 - d. A ballistic guide using the component **Guide** three times:
 Insert it with a cross-section of 5 cm \times 5 cm in the ends, with the two end-pieces getting linearly larger towards the center piece. The center piece should have a cross-section you deem good by looking at the instrument using Trace. You should also choose a length of the end-pieces using Trace. Use the default coating parameters which correspond to a so-called “ $m = 2$ ” supermirror guide.

3. For either of the guides, change the source focusing parameters to illuminate the guide opening. Perform a simulation and comment on the results; statistics and intensity recorded on the last monitor. Comment on the divergence distribution too.

4 Optional: Powder sample

Let us go back to simulating just one pulse. We place a 6 mm diameter sample at 150.0 m distance from the source. Use `Powder1.comp` with a reflection of $q = 5 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$, corresponding to a particular reflection of a powder sample. For time-of-flight detector, we use a cylinder of 2 m radius and 20 cm height. Use the component `TOF_cylPSD_monitor.comp` and focus on simulating the sample scattering on the detector.

1. Perform the simulation and see how the scattered neutrons display a band in the (t, θ) plot.
2. To perform quantitative analysis, place a 10 mm wide TOF detector at 130 degrees scattering angle simulating a vertical stripe of pixels in the TOF detector (use `Arm` and place it after the perimeter of the cylindrical TOF monitor). Notice that the picture you reach resembles the moderator time structure (you may need to simulate up to 10^8 rays to see this). This information can be transferred into information on q .

Calculate the perceived value of q and the peak width, dq .

Hints: Think about the zero point in time and the total neutron flight path through the instrument.